Prepared for submission to JCAP

arXiv:1610.02467v3 [hep-th] 4 Dec 2016

The double-soft limit in cosmological correlation functions and graviton exchange effects

Allan L. Alinea[a], **Takahiro Kubota**[a,b,c] **and Nobuhiko Misumi**[a]

[a] Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

[b] CELAS, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

[c] Kavli (IPMU), The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5, Kashiwa-no-Ha, Kashiwa City, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

E-mail: kubota@celas.osaka-u.ac.jp, alinea@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract. The graviton exchange effect on cosmological correlation functions is examined by employing the double-soft limit technique. A new relation among correlation functions that contain the effects due to graviton exchange diagrams in addition to those due to scalar-exchange and scalar-contact-interaction, is derived by using the background field method and independently by the method of Ward identities associated with dilatation symmetry. We compare these three terms, putting small values for the slow-roll parameters and $(1 - n_s) \approx 0.042$, where n_s is the scalar spectral index. It is argued that the graviton exchange effects are more dominant than the other two and could be observed in the trispectrum in the double-soft limit. Our observation strengthens the previous work by Seery, Sloth and Vernizzi, in which it has been argued that the graviton exchange dominates in the counter-collinear limit for single field slow-roll inflation.

Keywords: CMBR theory, cosmological perturbation theory, inflation

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **2**

3 Background field method and graviton exchange effects **3**

4 Ward identities and graviton exchange effects **6**

5 Graviton exchange diagrams in the double-soft limit **8**

6 Discussion **10**

1 Introduction

The recent progress in high-precision measurement of temperature fluctuation of the cosmological microwave background radiation has enabled us to extract more and more accurate information about our Universe [1]. The analyses of the data have been focused mainly in terms of the scalar perturbation, but this does not necessarily mean that the tensor perturbation is less important. On the contrary, thanks to the dedicated efforts by experimentalists, it is more than likely that a new development in search for B-mode polarization [2, 3] is just around the corner. The discovery of primordial gravitational wave responsible for the B-mode polarization will be expected to open a new era of quantum gravity, for the observation of tensor mode power spectrum will imply the existence of zero point oscillation of free gravitons. What we would like to aim at next is a search for evidence in favor of interacting gravitons in cosmological data.

One way to observe interacting gravitons in temperature fluctuation is to look at *trispectrum*, i.e., four-point functions of scalar perturbation [4]-[10]. It has been discussed in Ref. [9] that the four-point correlation function consists of three terms, namely, scalar-exchange, scalar-contact-interaction and the graviton exchange. Toward the computation of trispectrum Arroja and Koyama [8] obtained the fourth order interaction Hamiltonian in the comoving gauge and the uniform curvature gauge. In the course of computation they noticed that one cannot ignore second order tensor perturbation in order to obtain the correct leading order corrections. Seery, Sloth and Vernizzi [9] have also pointed out that graviton exchange effects are more dominant in scalar four-point correlation functions than scalar exchange. This is based on the observation that the interaction Lagrangian between graviton and two scalars is not suppressed by any powers of slow-roll parameters while the contact interactions of three scalars are suppressed at least one power of the slow-roll parameters. In Refs. [6, 9] the full contribution from graviton exchange to the trispectrum for a general momentum configuration was calculated. This general result was then checked in the counter-collinear limit using background field method, and it was found that in this limit graviton exchange dominates. In the present paper we will look for another way of uncovering the largeness of the graviton exchange effect in the trispectrum.

In connection with the strategy of studying graviton exchange effects on the trispectrum, let us recall that we have recently witnessed remarkable progresses in analyzing cosmological

correlation functions motivated by the consistency conditions obtained earlier in Refs. [11, 12]. Namely, technique of taking the soft limit [13]-[28] known in chiral dynamics [29] has been applied and developed. It has been by now well-established that $(N+1)$-point correlation functions are connected with N-point functions by sending one of the wave vectors to zero. The connection between correlation functions may be understood either by noting *Ward identities* associated with the residual conformal symmetry in three-dimensional space or by computing N-point functions under the influence of long-wave background fields of so-called *adiabatic modes* [30]. It is also possible to relate $(N+2)$-point functions to N-point ones by using the above method twice [31, 32] which is often referred to as *double-soft limit technique*.

In the present paper we will extend the double-soft limit technique by including the tensor perturbation.[1] We are going to derive a new relation among the trispectrum, scalar-scalar-tensor correlation, and the power spectrum, in which graviton exchange effects naturally appear. Our new relation is derived by using two independent methods: one is the *background field method* and the other is based on the *Ward identities associated with the dilatation symmetry*. It is shown that the two methods lead us to the same formula as must be the case.

Our double-soft limit relation tells us that the trispectrum consists of three types of Feynman diagrams: *scalar exchange*, *scalar-contact-interaction* and the *graviton exchange*. We will argue that the scalar exchange is suppressed not only by slow-roll parameters ϵ or η, but also by another small factor $(1-n_s)$, where n_s is the scalar spectral index [35]

$$n_s = 0.958 \pm 0.007\,. \tag{1.1}$$

The contribution due to the scalar-contact-interaction is also doubly suppressed by a factor $(1-n_s)^2$. The graviton exchange effect, on the other hand, is suppressed only by a single factor ϵ. This observation leads us to conclude that we will have a good chance to observe the graviton exchange effects by looking at the double-soft limit of the trispectrum.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we review the formalism that is necessary for taking double-soft limits. Our argument can be applied to a general class of single scalar field inflationary models, whose action together with Einstein's general relativity is given by

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}M_{\rm pl}^2 R + P(\phi, X)\right], \qquad X \equiv -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\,\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, R is the scalar curvature, $M_{\rm pl} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G}$ is the Planck mass and $P(\phi, X)$ is a polynomial functional of the inflaton field ϕ and its canonical kinetic term X. This is the most general Lagrangian so far as we exclude higher derivative terms of ϕ.

As for the classical background we use the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t)\delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j, \tag{2.2}$$

where the scale factor is denoted by $a(t)$. In order to handle quantum fluctuations around the classical background, one often uses the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner parametrization

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -N^2\mathrm{d}t^2 + h_{ij}\left(\mathrm{d}x^i + N^i\mathrm{d}t\right)\left(\mathrm{d}x^j + N^j\mathrm{d}t\right), \tag{2.3}$$

[1] The basic idea has been elaborated previously by one of the authors [33].

where N and N^i are the lapse and shift functions, respectively. We will use the *comoving gauge* throughout the present work. The spatial part of the metric in this gauge given as

$$h_{ij} = a^2(t) e^{2\zeta(t,\vec{x})} \left(e^{\gamma(t,\vec{x})}\right)_{ij} , \tag{2.4}$$

is parametrized by scalar (ζ) and tensor (γ_{ij}) perturbations supplemented by the conditions ${\gamma^i}_i = 0$ and $\partial_i {\gamma^i}_j = 0$.

The two-point function of ζ namely, the scalar power spectrum, has been computed in literature as

$$\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1} \zeta_{\vec{k}_2} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) P_\zeta(k_1), \qquad P_\zeta(k) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon c_s k^3} \left(\frac{H}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)^2 , \tag{2.5}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble parameter and $\zeta_{\vec{k}}$ is the three-dimensional Fourier transform of $\zeta(t, \vec{x})$. Here and hereafter the magnitudes of wave vectors $\vec{k}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are denoted by $k_i = |\vec{k}_i|$. The sound velocity c_s for the model of (2.1) is given by

$$c_s^2 = \frac{P_{,X}}{P_{,X} + 2P_{,XX}} , \tag{2.6}$$

where we have denoted the first and second derivatives of $P(\phi, X)$ with respect to X by $P_{,X}$ and $P_{,XX}$, respectively. The slow-roll parameter ϵ and η are defined by

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \qquad \eta \equiv \frac{1}{H}\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}. \tag{2.7}$$

The tensor power spectrum has also been known as

$$P_\gamma(k) = 16\epsilon c_s P_\zeta(k) = \frac{4}{k^3} \left(\frac{H}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)^2 . \tag{2.8}$$

The parameters H, ϵ and c_s in (2.5) and (2.8) are evaluated at the time of horizon crossing.

3 Background field method and graviton exchange effects

Now we derive the double-soft limit relations by employing the background field method. The point of this method is that correlation functions in the presence of background fields can be obtained by applying a coordinate transformation to corresponding correlation functions in the absence of the backgrounds. This method has been fully discussed in Refs. [31] and [32], along which we will also proceed paying due attention to the tensor modes.

Let us denote the long wavelength modes of scalar and tensor by ζ_L and $\gamma_{\rm L}$, respectively. The basic formula of this method for N-point correlation functions at fixed time t_* is

$$\langle \zeta(\vec{x}_1) \cdots \zeta(\vec{x}_N) \rangle_{\rm bg} = \langle \zeta(\vec{\tilde{x}}_1) \cdots \zeta(\vec{\tilde{x}}_N) \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\langle \zeta \cdots \zeta \rangle_{\rm bg}$ and $\langle \zeta \cdots \zeta \rangle$ are correlation functions with and without the background fields, respectively. The coordinates in these correlation functions (x^i and $\tilde{x}^i$) are related by

$$\tilde{x}^i = e^{\zeta_{\rm L}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\rm L}}\right)^i{}_j \, x^j$$

$$= \left(1 + \zeta_{\rm L} + \tfrac{1}{2}{\zeta_{\rm L}}^2 + \cdots\right)\left({\delta^i}_j + \tfrac{1}{2}{\gamma_{\rm L}}^i{}_j + \cdots\right) x^j$$
$$\equiv x^i + \delta x^i. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $\zeta_{\rm L}$ and $\gamma_{\rm L}$ are the values of long-wavelength modes at some point, say, at the origin. To second order in ζ_L and linear order in $\gamma_{\rm L}$, the right hand side of (3.1) turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\zeta(\vec{\tilde{x}}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{\tilde{x}}_N)\rangle &= \langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle + \sum_{a=1}^N \delta\vec{x}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_a\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^N \delta x_a^i \delta x_b^j \nabla_{ai}\nabla_{bj}\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle + \cdots \\
&= \langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle + \zeta_{\rm L}\sum_{a=1}^N \vec{x}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_a\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta_L^2}{2}\Big(\sum_{a=1}^N \vec{x}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_a + \sum_{a,b=1}^N x_a^i x_b^j \nabla_{ai}\nabla_{bj}\Big)\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}{\gamma_{\rm L}}^i{}_j\sum_{a=1}^N x_a^j\nabla_{ai}\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle + \cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In the Fourier-transformed space we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle_{\rm bg} - \langle\zeta(\vec{x}_1)\cdots\zeta(\vec{x}_N)\rangle \\
&\quad = \int\frac{d^3\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{d^3\vec{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\cdots\int\frac{d^3\vec{k}_N}{(2\pi)^3}\,(2\pi)^3\delta(\vec{k}_1+\cdots+\vec{k}_N)\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' \\
&\qquad \times\Bigg[\zeta_{\vec{q}}\sum_{a=1}^N \vec{k}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{k_a} + \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^3\vec{Q}}{(2\pi)^3}\,\zeta_{\vec{q}}\,\zeta_{\vec{Q}-\vec{q}}\Big(\sum_{a=1}^N \vec{k}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{k_a} + \sum_{a,b=1}^N {k_a}^i{k_b}^j\nabla_{k_a i}\nabla_{k_b j}\Big) \\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{1}{2}{\gamma_{\vec{q}}}^i{}_j\sum_{a=1}^N {k_a}^j\nabla_{k_a i}\Bigg]\exp\Big(i\sum_{a=1}^N \vec{k}_a\cdot\vec{x}_a\Big) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Here, primed correlation functions $\langle\cdots\cdots\rangle'$ are those whose $\vec{k}$-conserving delta function $(2\pi)^3\delta(\vec{k}_1+\cdots+\vec{k}_N)$ has been omitted. Performing partial integration in $\vec{k}$-space, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' &= \lim_{\vec{q}\to 0}\left(\zeta_{\vec{q}}\,\delta_{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^3\vec{Q}}{(2\pi)^3}\,\zeta_{\vec{q}}\,\zeta_{\vec{Q}-\vec{q}}\,\delta_{\mathcal{D}}^2\right)\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' \\
&\quad + \lim_{\vec{q}\to 0}\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\vec{q}}^i{}_j\Delta^j{}_i\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where our notations are

$$\delta_{\mathcal{D}} = -3(N-1) - \sum_{a=1}^N \vec{k}_a\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{k_a}, \qquad \Delta^j{}_i = -(N-1)\delta^j{}_i - \sum_{a=1}^N {k_a}^j\nabla_{k_a i}. \tag{3.6}$$

Multiplying both sides by two long-wavelength modes $\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}$ and $\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}$ and taking the average $\langle\cdots\cdots\rangle$, we arrive at

$$\lim_{\vec{q}_1,\vec{q}_2\to 0}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}=\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\zeta_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}\delta_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'+\delta^2_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' + \sum_s\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}\Delta^s\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where we have put $\gamma_{\vec{q}}{}^i{}_j=\sum_s\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\;\varepsilon^{si}{}_j(\vec{q})$ and $P_\zeta(q_i)$ (with $i=1,2$ and $q_i=|\vec{q}_i|$) is the power spectrum of the scalar mode (2.5). The polarization ($s=+$ or $\times$) of the tensor mode is described by $\varepsilon^{si}{}_j(\vec{q})$ and Δ^s is a differential operator

$$\Delta^s=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^N\varepsilon^{si}{}_j(\vec{q})\;k_a{}^j\nabla_{k_a i}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Since the polarization tensor is traceless, the first term of $\Delta^j{}_i$ in (3.6) does not survive in (3.8). The third term on the right hand side of (3.7) is a new one in comparison with formulae obtained in previous literatures and represents the graviton exchange effect. In connection with this, note that the operation (3.8) to scalar correlation functions induces a tensor-scalar cross correlation as was shown in Refs. [11, 14, 20]

$$\Delta^s\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^N\varepsilon^{si}{}_j(\vec{q})k_a{}^j\nabla_{k_a i}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'=\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}+\mathcal{O}(q)\,, \tag{3.9}$$

where $P_\gamma(q)$ with $q=|\vec{q}|$, is the tensor power spectrum (2.8). Using (3.9), the formula (3.7) reduces to

$$\lim_{\vec{q}_1,\vec{q}_2\to 0}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}=\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\zeta_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}\delta_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle'+\delta^2_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\rangle' + \sum_s\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{q}_1}\zeta_{\vec{q}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(q_1)P_\zeta(q_2)}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\zeta_{\vec{k}_N}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}\,. \tag{3.10}$$

For the trispectrum we get a useful formula (replacing soft wave vectors $\vec{q}_1$ and $\vec{q}_2$ by $\vec{k}_1$ and $\vec{k}_2$, respectively,)

$$\lim_{\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2\to 0}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}=\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}\delta_{\mathcal{D}}P_\zeta(k_3)+\delta^2_{\mathcal{D}}P_\zeta(k_3) + \sum_s\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (3.11) comes from the *scalar exchange* (**Fig.** 1(a)) and the second term is due to the *scalar-contact-interaction* (**Fig.** 1(b)). These two terms have been discussed in previous literatures [31, 32], while the third term is a new addition in the present work and corresponds to *graviton exchange effect* (**Fig.** 1(c)). Note that, in the case of single-soft limit, soft internal graviton lines have been studied briefly in Refs. [14, 20].



Figure 1. Feynman diagrams representing the contributions to the four-point function of $\zeta_{\vec{k}}$ in the double-soft limit. (a) scalar exchange, (b) contact interaction and (c) graviton exchange diagrams contributing to the trispectrum. Dashed and solid lines denote soft and hard scalars, respectively. The wavy line in (c) corresponds to a graviton exchange.

4 Ward identities and graviton exchange effects

In this section we would like to derive the formula (3.11) by using the Ward identities associated with dilatation symmetry. The theoretical framework for this purpose has been developed in Refs. [19, 21], the starting point of which is the one-particle irreducible part $\Gamma[\zeta,\gamma]$, i.e., a generating functional in three dimensional field theory at fixed time t_*:

$$Z[J,T^{ij}] = \int \mathcal{D}\zeta(t_*,\vec{x})\mathcal{D}\gamma_{ij}(t_*,\vec{x})P[t_*,\zeta,\gamma_{ij}] \times \exp\left\{\int d^3\vec{x}\left[\zeta(t_*,\vec{x})J(\vec{x})+\gamma_{ij}(t_*,\vec{x})T^{ij}(\vec{x})\right]\right\} . \tag{4.1}$$

Here the probability measure $P[t_*,\zeta,\gamma_{ij}]$ is given by an integral over the vacuum wave functional at time t_*, and the formulation in Ref. [19] has been slightly extended to include the tensor mode path integral. The generating functional of one-particle irreducible Green's functions is given as usual by a Legendre transformation

$$\Gamma[\overline{\zeta},\overline{\gamma}_{ij}] = \ln Z[J,T^{ij}] - \int d^3\vec{x}\left\{J(\vec{x})\overline{\zeta}(t_*,\vec{x})+T^{ij}(\vec{x})\overline{\gamma}_{ij}(t_*,\vec{x})\right\} , \tag{4.2}$$

where we have defined

$$\overline{\zeta}(t_*,\vec{x}) = \frac{\delta \ln Z[J,T^{ij}]}{\delta J(\vec{x})}, \qquad \overline{\gamma}_{ij}(t_*,\vec{x}) = \frac{\delta \ln Z[J,T^{ij}]}{\delta T^{ij}(\vec{x})} . \tag{4.3}$$

The scalar N-point Green's function $\Gamma^{(N)}$ is defined by

$$\frac{\delta^N\Gamma[\overline{\zeta},\overline{\gamma}_{ij}]}{\delta\overline{\zeta}_{\vec{k}_1}\cdots\delta\overline{\zeta}_{\vec{k}_N}} = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\vec{k}_1+\cdots+\vec{k}_N)\Gamma^{(N)}(\vec{k}_1,\cdots,\vec{k}_N) , \tag{4.4}$$

and it has been shown that the dilatation symmetry[2] controls the relation between N- and $(N+1)$-point functions [19]

$$\lim_{\vec{q}\to 0}\Gamma^{(N+1)}(\vec{q},\vec{k}_1,\cdots,\vec{k}_N) = (3-\mathcal{D}_N)\,\Gamma^{(N)}(\vec{k}_1,\cdots,\vec{k}_N) , \tag{4.5}$$

[2]For an alternative approach of deriving the four-point function using Ward identities involving special conformal transformation, see Ref. [34].

where $\mathcal{D}_N$ is the dilatation derivative defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_N \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{N} \vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{k_a}. \tag{4.6}$$

Although the tensor perturbation was not the focus of attention in previous literatures, the formula (4.5) is still valid in this form even after we consider tensor contributions. For $N = 2$ case, let us recall the relation

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(k) = -\frac{1}{P_\zeta(k)}\,, \tag{4.7}$$

and note that (4.5) is reduced to the celebrated Maldacena's relation [11]

$$\lim_{\vec{q}\to 0} \Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{q}, \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = (3 - \mathcal{D}_2)\Gamma^{(2)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = -\frac{1}{P_\zeta(k)^2}\,(3 + \mathcal{D}_1)\,P_\zeta(k)\,, \tag{4.8}$$

where $k = |\vec{k}_1| = |\vec{k}_2|$.

Suppose that we use the relation (4.5) twice, then we have

$$\lim_{\vec{q}_1,\vec{q}_2\to 0} \Gamma^{(N+2)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{k}_1, \cdots, \vec{k}_N) = (3 - \mathcal{D}_N)\,(3 - \mathcal{D}_N)\,\Gamma^{(N)}(\vec{k}_1, \cdots, \vec{k}_N)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

We now make use of (4.5) and (4.9) in order to disentangle the four-point correlation function decomposed into 1PI Green's functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle &= (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 + \vec{k}_4)P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)P_\zeta(k_3)P_\zeta(k_4) \\
&\times \Big[\Gamma^{(4)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4) \\
&+ \Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{q})P_\zeta(q)\Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4, -\vec{q}) \\
&+ \Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_3, -\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_3)P_\zeta(|\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_3|)\Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_4, \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_3) \\
&+ \Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_4, -\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_4)P_\zeta(|\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_4|)\Gamma^{(3)}(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_4) \\
&+ \sum_s \Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2; \vec{q}, s)P_\gamma(q)\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4; -\vec{q}, s) \\
&+ \sum_s \Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_3; -\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_3, s)P_\gamma(|\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_3|)\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_4; \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_3, s) \\
&+ \sum_s \Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_4; -\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_4, s)P_\gamma(|\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_4|)\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3; \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_4, s)\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $\vec{q} = -\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 = \vec{k}_3 + \vec{k}_4$. The last three terms on the right hand side of (4.10) are the graviton exchange effect. The connection between 1-graviton and 2-scalar 1PI part $\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}$ and correlation function is given by

$$\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle' = P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)P_\gamma(q)\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2; \vec{q}, s)\,. \tag{4.11}$$

In the double-soft limit ($\vec{k}_1 \to 0$, $\vec{k}_2 \to 0$), $\vec{q}$ also becomes soft and among the three terms of graviton exchange the one containing $P_\gamma(q)$ becomes the most dominant, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2\to 0} \frac{\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)} \approx P_\zeta(k_3)P_\zeta(k_4)\Big[(3 - \mathcal{D}_2)(3 - \mathcal{D}_2)\Gamma^{(2)}(\vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4)$$

$$+\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}(3-\mathcal{D}_2)\Gamma^{(2)}(\vec{k}_3,\vec{k}_4)$$
$$+2\,(3-\mathcal{D}_2)\,\Gamma^{(2)}(\vec{k}_3,\vec{k}_4)P_\zeta(k_3)\,(3-\mathcal{D}_2)\,\Gamma^{(2)}(\vec{k}_3,\vec{k}_4)$$
$$+\sum_s \Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2;\vec{q},s)P_\gamma(q)\Gamma^{(3)}_{\zeta\zeta\gamma}(\vec{k}_3,\vec{k}_4;-\vec{q},s)\Big]\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Using (4.7) and (4.11) in (4.12), we immediately arrive at

$$\lim_{\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2\to 0}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)} = -\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}(3+\mathcal{D}_1)P_\zeta(k_3)+\left(9+6\mathcal{D}_1+\mathcal{D}_1^2\right)P_\zeta(k_3)$$
$$+\sum_s\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}\,, \tag{4.13}$$

which we find identical with (3.11) if we notice the relations

$$\delta_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle' = -\,(3+\mathcal{D}_1)\,P_\zeta(k_3),\qquad \delta^2_{\mathcal{D}}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle' = \left(9+6\mathcal{D}_1+\mathcal{D}_1^2\right)P_\zeta(k_3)\,. \tag{4.14}$$

5 Graviton exchange diagrams in the double-soft limit

We have seen that the graviton exchange effect in the scalar trispectrum naturally appears in the double-soft limit relation as the third term in (3.11) and in (4.13). For an illustrative purpose, let us confirm these contributions by making an explicit use of the formulae available in the literatures. Seery et al. [9] computed the trispectrum for single field inflation with the canonical kinetic term, i.e., $P(X,\phi)=X-V(\phi)$ with $c_s^2=1$. They used the so-called *flat gauge* $h_{ij}=a^2(t)\,(e^\gamma)_{ij}$, in which the inflation field ϕ is split into the classical part ϕ_c and the quantum fluctuation part φ, i.e.,

$$\phi(\tau,\vec{x}) = \phi_c(\tau)+\varphi(\tau,\vec{x})\,. \tag{5.1}$$

Here and hereafter we will use the conformal time τ defined as $\mathrm{d}\tau\equiv\mathrm{d}t/a(t)$, instead of the coordinate time t. The correlation functions $\langle\zeta\cdots\zeta\rangle$ are obtained from those of φ by a substitution

$$\varphi\to\sqrt{2\epsilon}\,M_{\rm pl}\,\zeta, \tag{5.2}$$

where ϵ is the slow-roll parameter in (2.7).

According to their application of the *in-in* or *Schwinger-Keldysh formalism*, the graviton exchange (GE) contribution to four-point correlator of φ's due to the interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm int} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\vec{x}\,a^2\gamma^{ij}\partial_i\varphi\,\partial_j\varphi, \tag{5.3}$$

is expressed as

$$\langle\varphi_{\vec{k}_1}\varphi_{\vec{k}_2}\varphi_{\vec{k}_3}\varphi_{\vec{k}_3}\rangle_{\rm GE}$$
$$= (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\vec{k}_1+\vec{k}_2+\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4)\sum_s\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})(\vec{k}_1)^i(\vec{k}_2)^j\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})(\vec{k}_3)^l(\vec{k}_4)^m$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(H_*)^4}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{d\tau}{\tau^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau}\frac{d\tau'}{\tau'^2}\,\mathrm{Im}[G^{>}_{k_1}(\tau_*,\tau)G^{>}_{k_2}(\tau_*,\tau)] \times \mathrm{Im}[G^{>}_{k_3}(\tau_*,\tau')G^{>}_{k_4}(\tau_*,\tau')F^{s>}_{q}(\tau,\tau')] + (\text{permutations})\,. \tag{5.4}$$

Note that $\vec{q}=-\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2=\vec{k}_3+\vec{k}_4$ is the momentum carried by the exchanged graviton with polarization tensor $\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})$. The Fourier transform of $\varphi(\tau,\vec{x})$ is denoted by $\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\tau)$. The scalar and tensor two-point functions of the ">" type, i.e.,

$$G^{>}_k(\tau,\tau')=U_k(\tau)U^*_k(\tau'),\qquad F^{s>}_k(\tau,\tau')=V^s_k(\tau)V^{s*}_k(\tau'), \tag{5.5}$$

are defined in terms of the solutions to the equations of motion

$$U_k(\tau)=\frac{H_*}{\sqrt{2k^3}}(1-ik\tau)e^{ik\tau},\qquad V^s_k(\tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^3}}\left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)(1-ik\tau)e^{ik\tau}\,. \tag{5.6}$$

The Hubble parameter at the time of horizon crossing ($\tau=\tau_*$) is denoted by H_*. Recall that the functions (5.6) appear in the mode expansion of φ and γ_{ij}, respectively:

$$\varphi(\tau,\vec{x}) = \int\frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\left[a_{\vec{k}}U_k(\tau)+a^\dagger_{-\vec{k}}U^*_k(\tau)\right]e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\,, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\gamma_{ij}(\tau,\vec{x}) = \sum_{s=+,\times}\int\frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\left[b^s_{\vec{k}}\,\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{k})V_k(\tau)+b^{s\dagger}_{-\vec{k}}\,\varepsilon^s_{ij}(-\vec{k})V^*_k(\tau)\right]e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\,. \tag{5.8}$$

Here $a_{\vec{k}}$ ($a^\dagger_{\vec{k}}$) and $b^s_{\vec{k}}$ ($b^{s\dagger}_{\vec{k}}$) are annihilation (creation) operators of inflaton and graviton with polarization s, respectively

In the following we would like to examine the structure of (5.4) in the soft limit $\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2\to 0$ and therefore the soft exchanged graviton limit, i.e., $\vec{q}\to 0$. First of all we note that the tensor two-point function is approximated by

$$F^{s>}_q(\tau,\tau')\approx\frac{1}{q^3}\left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)^2=\frac{1}{4}P_\gamma(q)\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Under this approximation we note that the integrand in (5.4) is symmetric under the simultaneous exchange $(\vec{k}_1,\vec{k}_2)\leftrightarrow(\vec{k}_3,\vec{k}_4)$ and $\tau\leftrightarrow\tau'$. Then we are allowed to extend the integration region of τ' to $(-\infty,\tau_*]$ by considering a pair of two terms in (5.4) simultaneously. As one may have expected, the integration in (5.4) becomes essentially the same as the one discussed in Ref. [11], namely

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau^2}\;\mathrm{Im}[G^{>}_{k_1}(\tau_*,\tau)G^{>}_{k_2}(\tau_*,\tau)] &= \frac{H_*^2}{2k_1^3}\frac{H_*^2}{2k_2^3}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau^2}\;\mathrm{Im}\big[(1-ik_1\tau_*)(1+ik_1\tau)\\ &\quad\times(1-ik_2\tau_*)(1+ik_2\tau)e^{i(k_1+k_2)(\tau_*-\tau)}\big]\\ &= \frac{H_*^2}{2k_1^3}\frac{H_*^2}{2k_2^3}\left(k_1+k_2-\frac{k_1k_2}{k_1+k_2}\right)\\ \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau^2}\;\mathrm{Im}[G^{>}_{k_1}(\tau_*,\tau)G^{>}_{k_2}(\tau_*,\tau)]\Big|_{k_1=k_2} &= \frac{3H_*^4}{8}\frac{1}{k_1^5},\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where we have set $k_1 = k_2$ at the last equality.

On employing the formula (5.10), the double integration in (5.4) turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_s \varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})(\vec{k}_1)^i(\vec{k}_2)^j\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})(\vec{k}_3)^l(\vec{k}_4)^m\frac{1}{(H_*)^4}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_*}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau'}{\tau'^2} \\
&\qquad\times \mathrm{Im}\bigl[G^{>}_{k_1}(\tau_*,\tau)G^{>}_{k_2}(\tau_*,\tau)\bigr]\mathrm{Im}\bigl[G^{>}_{k_3}(\tau_*,\tau')G^{>}_{k_4}(\tau_*,\tau')F^{s>}_q(\tau,\tau')\bigr] \\
&\approx \frac{1}{4}P_\gamma(q)(H_*)^4\sum_s \varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})(\vec{k}_1)^i(\vec{k}_2)^j\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})(\vec{k}_3)^l(\vec{k}_4)^m\left(\frac{3}{8k_1^5}\right)\left(\frac{3}{8k_3^5}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{256}P_\gamma(q)(H_*)^4\sum_s\left[\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})k_1{}^i\nabla_{\vec{k}_1}{}^j\frac{1}{k_1^3}\right]\left[\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})k_3{}^l\nabla_{\vec{k}_3}{}^m\frac{1}{k_3^3}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\epsilon^2M_{\rm pl}^4\,P_\gamma(q)\sum_s\left[\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})k_1{}^i\nabla_{\vec{k}_1}{}^jP_\zeta(k_1)\right]\left[\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})k_3{}^l\nabla_{\vec{k}_3}{}^mP_\zeta(k_3)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2M_{\rm pl}^4\,P_\gamma(q)\sum_s\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where use has been made of the relation (3.9) with $N = 2$. After employing the replacement (5.2) and taking into account the combinatoric factors we end up with the formula[3]

$$\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\rangle'_{\rm GE} = \sum_s\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'\frac{1}{P_\gamma(q)}\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'\,, \tag{5.12}$$

and this confirms the third term in (3.11) and in (4.13) via explicit computation.

6 Discussion

Let us now discuss the relative magnitudes of the three terms on the right hand side of (4.13). Just for simplicity, we will work for the case of the single scalar standard inflation with $P(X,\phi) = X - V(\phi)$ (corresponding to $c_s = 1$) and the slow-roll approximation will be used. First of all, note the relations

$$(3+\mathcal{D}_1)P_\zeta(k) = (n_s-1)P_\zeta(k), \qquad (9+6\mathcal{D}_1+\mathcal{D}_1^2)P_\zeta(k) = (n_s-1)^2P_\zeta(k)\,, \tag{6.1}$$

where n_s is given by (1.1). The second term in (4.13) is therefore estimated as

$$(9+6\mathcal{D}_1+\mathcal{D}_1^2)P_\zeta(k) = (1.76\times10^{-3})\times\left(\frac{1-n_s}{0.042}\right)^2P_\zeta(k) \tag{6.2}$$

The scalar three-point functions have been computed as [5, 6, 10, 11]

$$\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\rangle' = 4P_\zeta(k_1P_\zeta(k_2)\frac{\mathcal{A}_\zeta}{k_3^3} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\zeta = \epsilon\left(-\frac{1}{8}\sum_{a=1}^3k_a^3+\frac{1}{8}\sum_{a\neq b}k_ak_b^2+\frac{1}{K}\sum_{a>b}k_a^2k_b^2\right)+\frac{\eta}{8}\sum_{a=1}^3k_a^3\,, \tag{6.4}$$

[3]Note that (5.12) is consistent with the formula for graviton-exchange contribution derived in Ref. [9] using the background field method.

where $K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$. Note that terms in (6.4) contain slow-roll parameters (2.7) and the first term in (4.13) is therefore suppressed by

$$\epsilon\,(1 - n_s) = (2.1 \times 10^{-3}) \times \left(\frac{\epsilon}{0.05}\right)\left(\frac{1 - n_s}{0.042}\right), \tag{6.5}$$

and also by a similar factor of $\eta(1 - n_s)$.

The two-scalar-one-graviton correlation was also worked out in Ref. [11] as

$$\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle' = \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\left(\frac{H_*}{M_{\rm pl}}\right)^4 \frac{1}{q^3k_1^3k_2^3}\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})(\vec{k}_1)^i(\vec{k}_2)^j\left(-L + \frac{qk_1 + k_1k_2 + k_2q}{L} + \frac{qk_1k_2}{L^2}\right),$$

where $L = k_1 + k_2 + q$. The third term in (4.13) thus turns out to be a product of

$$\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\gamma^s_{\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)} = 4\epsilon\,\varepsilon^s_{ij}(\vec{q})(\vec{k}_1)^i(\vec{k}_2)^j\frac{1}{q^3}\left(-L + \frac{qk_1 + k_1k_2 + k_2q}{L} + \frac{qk_1k_2}{L^2}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\langle\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\zeta_{\vec{k}_4}\gamma^s_{-\vec{q}}\rangle'}{P_\gamma(q)} = P_\zeta(k_3)\varepsilon^s_{lm}(-\vec{q})(\vec{k}_3)^l(\vec{k}_4)^m\frac{1}{4k_4^3}\left(-M + \frac{qk_3 + k_3k_4 + k_4q}{M} + \frac{qk_3k_4}{M^2}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

Here we have defined $M = k_3 + k_4 + q$. It is now clear that the suppression factor in the third term in (4.13) is simply ϵ. If $(1 - n_s) \approx 0.042$, it may be possible that the third term is more dominant than the other two which are suppressed either by $\epsilon(1 - n_s)$, $\eta(1 - n_s)$ or $(1 - n_s)^2$. Thus, the graviton exchange effect could be seen in the future observation of the trispectrum.

References

[1] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. **594** (2016) A13 doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525830 [arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO]].

[2] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 and Keck Array Collaborations], *BICEP2 / Keck Array V: Measurements of B-mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales and 150 GHz by the Keck Array*, Astrophys. J. **811** (2015) 126 doi:10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/126 [arXiv:1502.00643 [astro-ph.CO]].

[3] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 and Keck Array Collaborations], *Improved Constraints on Cosmology and Foregrounds from BICEP2 and Keck Array Cosmic Microwave Background Data with Inclusion of 95 GHz Band*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 031302 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.031302 [arXiv:1510.09217 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] M. Kunz, A. J. Banday, P. G. Castro, P. G. Ferreira and K. M. Gorski, *The trispectrum of the 4 year cobe-dmr data*, Astrophys. J. **563** (2001) L99 doi:10.1086/338602 [astro-ph/0111250].

[5] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, *Primordial non-Gaussianities from multiple-field inflation*, JCAP **0509** (2005) 011 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2005/09/011 [astro-ph/0506056];

[6] D. Seery, J. E. Lidsey and M. S. Sloth, *The inflationary trispectrum*, JCAP **0701** (2007) 027 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/027 [astro-ph/0610210].

[7] X. Chen, M. x. Huang and G. Shiu, *The Inflationary Trispectrum for Models with Large Non-Gaussianities*, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 121301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.121301 [hep-th/0610235].

[8] F. Arroja and K. Koyama, *Non-gaussianity from the trispectrum in general single field inflation*, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 083517 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.083517 [arXiv:0802.1167 [hep-th]]; F. Arroja, S. Mizuno, K. Koyama and T. Tanaka, *On the full trispectrum in single field DBI-inflation*, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 043527 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.043527 [arXiv:0905.3641 [hep-th]].

[9] D. Seery, M. S. Sloth and F. Vernizzi, *Inflationary trispectrum from graviton exchange*, JCAP **0903** (2009) 018 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/03/018 [arXiv:0811.3934 [astro-ph]].

[10] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, *Observational signatures and non-Gaussianities of general single field inflation*, JCAP **0701** (2007) 002 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/002 [hep-th/0605045]; X. Chen, B. Hu, M. x. Huang, G. Shiu and Y. Wang, *Large Primordial Trispectra in General Single Field Inflation*, JCAP **0908** (2009) 008 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/008 [arXiv:0905.3494 [astro-ph.CO]].

[11] J. M. Maldacena, *Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models*, JHEP **0305** (2003) 013 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/05/013 [astro-ph/0210603].

[12] P. Creminelli and M. Zaldarriaga, *Single field consistency relation for the 3-point function*, JCAP **0410** (2004) 006 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2004/10/006 [astro-ph/0407059].

[13] C. Cheung, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and L. Senatore, *On the consistency relation of the 3-point function in single field inflation*, JCAP **0802** (2008) 021 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2008/02/021 [arXiv:0709.0295 [hep-th]].

[14] P. Creminelli, J. Noreña and M. Simonović, *Conformal consistency relations for single-field inflation*, JCAP **1207** (2012) 052 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/07/052 [arXiv:1203.4595 [hep-th]].

[15] V. Assassi, D. Baumann and D. Green, *On Soft Limits of Inflationary Correlation Functions*, JCAP **1211** (2012) 047 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/11/047 [arXiv:1204.4207 [hep-th]].

[16] K. Hinterbichler, L. Hui and J. Khoury, *Conformal Symmetries of Adiabatic Modes in Cosmology*, JCAP **1208** (2012) 017 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/08/017 [arXiv:1203.6351 [hep-th]].

[17] L. Senatore and M. Zaldarriaga, *A Note on the Consistency Condition of Primordial Fluctuations*, JCAP **1208** (2012) 001 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/08/001 [arXiv:1203.6884 [astro-ph.CO]].

[18] K. Schalm, G. Shiu and T. van der Aalst, Consistency condition for inflation from (broken) conformal symmetry, JCAP **1303** (2013) 005 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/03/005 [arXiv:1211.2157 [hep-th]].

[19] W. D. Goldberger, L. Hui and A. Nicolis, *One-particle-irreducible consistency relations for cosmological perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) no.10, 103520 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.103520 [arXiv:1303.1193 [hep-th]].

[20] K. Hinterbichler, L. Hui and J. Khoury, *An Infinite Set of Ward Identities for Adiabatic Modes in Cosmology*, JCAP **1401** (2014) 039 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/01/039 [arXiv:1304.5527 [hep-th]].

[21] L. Berezhiani and J. Khoury, *Slavnov-Taylor Identities for Primordial Perturbations*, JCAP **1402** (2014) 003 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/02/003 [arXiv:1309.4461 [hep-th]].

[22] G. L. Pimentel, *Inflationary Consistency Conditions from a Wavefunctional Perspective*, JHEP **1402** (2014) 124 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)124 [arXiv:1309.1793 [hep-th]].

[23] L. Berezhiani, J. Khoury and J. Wang, *Non-Trivial Checks of Novel Consistency Relations*, JCAP **1406** (2014) 056 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/06/056 [arXiv:1401.7991 [hep-th]].

[24] H. Collins, R. Holman and T. Vardanyan, *A Cosmological Slavnov-Taylor Identity*, JCAP **1412**

(2014) no.12, 007 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/12/007 [arXiv:1405.0017 [hep-th]].

[25] M. Mirbabayi and M. Simonović, *Weinberg Soft Theorems from Weinberg Adiabatic Modes*, arXiv:1602.05196 [hep-th].

[26] N. Kundu, A. Shukla and S. P. Trivedi, *Constraints from Conformal Symmetry on the Three Point Scalar Correlator in Inflation*, JHEP **1504** (2015) 061 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)061 [arXiv:1410.2606 [hep-th]].

[27] N. Kundu, A. Shukla and S. P. Trivedi, *Ward Identities for Scale and Special Conformal Transformations in Inflation*, JHEP **1601** (2016) 046 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2016)046 [arXiv:1507.06017 [hep-th]].

[28] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *The Cosmological Slavnov-Taylor Identity from BRST Symmetry in Single-Field Inflation*, JCAP **1603** (2016) no.03, 045 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/03/045 [arXiv:1511.09309 [hep-th]].

[29] S. L. Adler, *Consistency conditions on the strong interactions implied by a partially conserved axial vector current*, Phys. Rev. **137** (1965) B1022. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.137.B1022; S. Weinberg, *Pion scattering lengths*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17** (1966) 616. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.17.616

[30] S. Weinberg, *Adiabatic modes in cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 123504 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.123504 [astro-ph/0302326].

[31] M. Mirbabayi and M. Zaldarriaga, *Double-soft Limits of Cosmological Correlations*, JCAP **1503** (2015) no.03, 025 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/03/025 [arXiv:1409.6317 [hep-th]].

[32] A. Joyce, J. Khoury and M. Simonović, *Multiple Soft Limits of Cosmological Correlation Functions*, JCAP **1501** (2015) no.01, 012 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/01/012 [arXiv:1409.6318 [hep-th]].

[33] N. Misumi, *Double-soft Limits of Cosmological Correlation Functions and Observability of Graviton Exchange*, Doctor Thesis submitted to Osaka University (February, 2016).

[34] A. Ghosh, N. Kundu, S. Raju and S. P. Trivedi, *Conformal Invariance and the Four Point Scalar Correlator in Slow-Roll Inflation*, JHEP **1407**, 011 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)011 [arXiv:1401.1426 [hep-th]].

[35] K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin Phys. C, **38**, 090001 (2014).